

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/316,805	805 05/21/1999		JOHN RAITHEL HIND	CR9-99-033	8335
25259	7590	09/29/2003			
IBM CORPORATION				EXAMINER	
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195				SONG, F	IOSUK
REASEARC	REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27	<sup>'</sup> 09	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2131	
				DATE MAILED: 09/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·			4
	Application No.	Apcant(s)	
	09/316,805	HIND ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hosuk Song	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirt riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>25 July 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und <b>Disposition of Claims</b>			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	<u></u>	·	
10)∐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · ·	` '	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	, •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notice  Output  Description:	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/316,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Traw et al.(US 5,949,877) in view of Traw et al.(US 6,542,610).

Claims 1,2: Traw disclose exchanging device certificates of first and second device in (col.7,lines 7-13,37-43). Device certificate having a unique hardware id is disclosed by Traw in (col.7,lines 28-30). Traw disclose cryptographically verifying the received certificate using the public key of Certificate Authority and exchanging challenges created by each of first and second devices in (col.7,lines 25-31, 44-60). Traw disclose responding to respective challenges by signing received challenge,using the receiving device private key, private keys residing in the respective protected storage in each device and returning signed challenges in (col.7,lines 66-67;col.lines 1-17 and col.10,lines 40-50). Traw disclose cryptographically verifying that received challenge signature is of the challenge previously sent by receiving device and establishing a key agreement between first and second devices in (col.8,lines 11-17). Traw disclose establishing secure communications if all of prior verifying steps succeed in (col.8,lines 18-29).

Art Unit: 2131

Traw does not specifically disclose negotiating a two-way session encryption and mutual authentication requirements between first and second device. Traw patent disclose establishing initial session between first and second device and negotiating two way session encryption and mutual authentication requirements between two devices in (fig.2 and col.7,lines 6-25). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have pre- authenticated process as taught in Traw with device certificate method disclosed in Traw because secure communication can be achieved before actual delivery of secure contents thus adding security of its content. Further, it provides an assurance to each entity as to origin of its data sources and how data is routed to the destination thereby minimizing data compromise.

Page 3

Claim 3: Traw disclose first established session is an authenticated connection in (col.8,lines 21-26).

Claim 4: Traw disclose first established session is an encrypted connection in (col.3,lines 49-52).

Claim 5: Traw disclose unique hardware identifier is a machine address in (col.10,lines 40-50).

Claims 6,7:examiner takes Official notice that write-only storage,read-write storage to store or perform computation is well known in the art. For example (EEPROM,DRAM,etc). Write only EEPROM can be used to store keys and can be written into the memory by the encryption circuitry, but he key can not be read from any other external leads connected to the chip thus providing full protection of its key against outside attacks. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use these storage because it offers protection and data can be readily retrieved and access at user's discretion.

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 8: Traw disclose public key of a CA is a public key of a root CA in (col.10,lines 40-46).

Page 4

Claims 9-16 differs from claims 1-8 in that computer program code is claimed. It is inherent in system of Traw to include a software code in order to perform cryptographic processing. The examiner asserts that performing a cryptographic functions by a computer without implementation of software is not possible.

Claims 17,18: Traw disclose exchanging device certificates of first and second device in (col.7,lines 7-13,37-43). Device certificate having a unique hardware id is disclosed by Traw in (col.7, lines 28-30). Traw disclose cryptographically verifying the received certificate using the public key of Certificate Authority and exchanging challenges created by each of first and second devices in (col.7,lines 25-31, 44-60). Traw disclose responding to respective challenges by signing received challenge, using the receiving device private key, private keys residing in the respective protected storage in each device and returning signed challenges in (col.7,lines 66-67; col.lines 1-17 and col.10, lines 40-50). Traw disclose cryptographically verifying that received challenge signature is of the challenge previously sent by receiving device and establishing a key agreement between first and second devices in (col.8,lines 11-17). Traw disclose establishing secure communications if all of prior verifying steps succeed in (col.8,lines 18-29). Traw does not specifically disclose negotiating a two-way session encryption and mutual authentication requirements between first and second device. Traw patent disclose establishing initial session between first and second device and negotiating two way session encryption and mutual authentication requirements between two devices in (fig.2 and col.7,lines 6-25). It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have

Art Unit: 2131

pre- authenticated process as taught in Traw with device certificate method disclosed in Traw because secure communication can be achieved before actual delivery of secure contents thus adding security of its content. Further, it provides assurance to each entity as to origin of its data sources and how data is routed to the destination thereby minimizing data compromise.

Page 5

Claim 19: Traw disclose first established session is an authenticated connection in (col.8,lines 21-26).

Claim 20: Traw disclose first established session is an encrypted connection in (col.3,lines 49-52).

Claim 21: Traw disclose unique hardware identifier is a machine address in (col.10,lines 40-50).

Claims 22,23: examiner takes Official notice that write-only storage,read-write storage to store or perform computation is well known in the art. For example (EEPROM,DRAM,etc). Write only EEPROM can be used to store keys and can be written into the memory by the encryption circuitry, but he key can not be read from any other external leads connected to the chip thus providing full protection of its key against outside attacks. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use these storage because it offers protection and data can be readily retrieved and access at user's discretion.

Claim 24: Traw disclose public key of a CA is a public key of a root CA in (col.10,lines 40-46).

### Response to Applicant's Arguments

3. Applicant states that "protected storage" is clearly defined in the specification where using a write-only storage means, such that there is no way for software residing in the device to

Art Unit: 2131

read the key but the device can execute operations against the information. Applicant argues that neither of the Traw patents teach or suggest the use of protected storage as defined in the present invention. In response: Applicant is desirous of having the specification read into the claim language. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Applicant specifically claims "protected storage" where this limitation is fully met by Traw patent in (col.2,lines 53-60) which teaches antitampering measure to protect its keys. Applicant argues that specific types of protected storage are claimed in some of the dependent claims(e.g. write only storage of claim 6) in which Traw patent fails to teach or suggest. In response: Specific type of protected storage claimed in claim 6 by applicant is fully addressed by taking Official notice. Sufficient motivation and examples was provided in support of what is well known in the art.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/316,805 Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Hosuk Song whose telephone number is (703)305-0042. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fri from 6:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh, can be reached on (703)305-9648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Just of

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100